COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

FISCAL NOTE

<u>L.R. No.</u>: 1184-01 Bill No.: HB 693

Subject: Crimes and Punishment; Property, Real and Personal

<u>Type</u>: Original

Date: March 14, 2011

Bill Summary: This proposal revises the punishment for the crime of receiving stolen

property.

FISCAL SUMMARY

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
General Revenue	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than \$100,000)	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: () indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 5 pages.

L.R. No. 1184-01 Bill No. HB 693 Page 2 of 5 March 14, 2011

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0	

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- \square Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014	
Local Government	\$0	\$0	\$0	

L.R. No. 1184-01 Bill No. HB 693 Page 3 of 5 March 14, 2011

FISCAL ANALYSIS

ASSUMPTION

Officials from the Office of Prosecution Services, Office of the State Courts Administrator and the Department of Public Safety each assume the proposal would not create a fiscal impact to their respective agencies.

For the purpose of this proposed legislation, officials at the **Office of State Public Defender** (**SPD**) cannot assume that existing staff will provide competent, effective representation for any new cases arising where indigent persons are charged with the enhanced penalties of receiving stolen property.

Passage of bills increasing penalties on existing crimes, or creating new crimes, requires the State Public Defender System to further extend resources. While the number of new cases (or cases with increased penalties) may be too few or uncertain to request additional funding for this specific bill, the SPD will continue to request sufficient appropriations to provide competent and effective representation is all its cases.

Oversight assumes the SPD can absorb the additional caseload that may result from this proposal.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** state this bill proposes to revise the punishment for the crime of receiving stolen property. The penalty provision component of this bill resulting in potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for up to a class B felony.

Currently, the DOC cannot predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in direct offender cost either through incarceration (FY10 average of \$16.397 per offender, per day, or an annual cost of \$5,985 per inmate) or through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY10 average of \$3.92 per offender, per day or an annual cost of \$1,431 per offender).

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in additional unknown costs to the department. Seventeen (17) persons would have to be incarcerated per each fiscal year to exceed \$100,000 annually. Due to the narrow scope of this new crime, it is assumed the impact would be less than \$100,000 per year for the DOC.

L.R. No. 1184-01 Bill No. HB 693 Page 4 of 5 March 14, 2011

	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
ESTIMATED NET EFFECT TO THE GENERAL REVENUE FUND	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>
Costs - Department of Corrections Incarceration / Probation for enhanced penalties for persons receiving stolen property	(Less than \$100,000)	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>	(Less than <u>\$100,000)</u>
GENERAL REVENUE	(' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '		
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012 (10 Mo.)	FY 2013	FY 2014
FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2012	FY 2013	FY 2014

FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

FISCAL DESCRIPTION

This proposal revises the punishment for the crime of receiving stolen property. Current law states receiving stolen property is a class A misdemeanor, unless its value is \$500 or more, in which case the crime is a class C felony. Under this proposal, if the value of the property exceeds \$25,000 or if the person charged with this crime has certain prior convictions, the crime is a class B felony.

This legislation is not federally mandated, would not duplicate any other program and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

L.R. No. 1184-01 Bill No. HB 693 Page 5 of 5 March 14, 2011

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

Department of Public Safety Office of the State Courts Administrator Office of Prosecution Services Office of the State Public Defender Department of Corrections

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director

March 14, 2011